

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2012-006372-019 DT

05/28/2013

COMMISSIONER BRIAN S. REES

CLERK OF THE COURT
R. Miller
Deputy

STATE OF ARIZONA

MICHAEL GORDON DENNEY
KIMBERLY FELCYN
PETER S SPAW

v.

ALDO QUEZADA-SALAS (019)

DAVID DENNIS GREENE
TAMRA S M FACCIOLA

CITS - CCC SPANISH
JUDGE PETER REINSTEIN
ALDO QUEZADA-SALAS #P940026
MCSO - INMATE MAIL
-- -- 00000
REGULATOR BAIL BONDS INC
138 N STAPLEY DR APT 1
MESA AZ 85203-8869
INTERNATIONAL FIDELITY
INSURANCE CO
P O BOX 9810
CALABASAS CA 91372-9810

HEARING RE: MOTION TO RECONSIDER / RESET

1:49 p.m.

Courtroom SCT 3C

State's Attorney:
Defendant:

Kim Felcyn
Present

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2012-006372-019 DT

05/28/2013

Defendant's Attorney: David Greene
Bonding Company: Counsel Tamra Facciola
Surety: Not present or represented
Indemnitor: Leticia Salas
Interpreter: Sylvia Fisher, assisting the Indemnitor

A record of the proceedings is made by audio and/or videotape in lieu of a court reporter.

This is hearing on the bond company's "Motion and Application to Stay Execution of Judgment," which was followed later by the "Real Party in Interest's Request to Order Defendant Non-Bondable and Request to Vacate Bond Forfeiture/Exonerate Bond in Its Entirety." The Court considers today's hearing to be a hearing on a motion to reconsider the previous forfeiture of the bond.

The Defendant should have been held non-bondable.

There have been some difficulties getting the appropriate orders issued holding the defendant non-bondable because of his immigration status. If the appropriate orders are entered, this Court can make a decision on the motion for reconsideration. The assigned criminal judge needs to issue orders. Once those orders are issued, this Court will resolve this bond.

IT IS ORDERED resetting this matter for hearing on June 25, 2013, at 1:30 p.m., before the Bond Forfeiture Judicial Officer, Courtroom 3C, 3rd Floor, South Court Tower, 175 West Madison, Phoenix, Arizona, in order to get the matter resolved.

LET THE RECORD REFLECT the Court cannot make a decision regarding the bond until the motion to hold the Defendant non-bondable, filed on March 14, 2013, has been resolved. Because these are civil proceedings, the Court needs the criminal judge to issue an appropriate decision on that matter. Then following the criminal judge's decision, this Court can issue the appropriate civil orders regarding the bond.

1:55 p.m. Matter concludes.